

## References

- Ball PW. 1990. Some aspects of the phytogeography of *Carex*. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 68: 1462–1472.
- Bruhl JJ. 1995. Sedge genera of the world: Relationships and a new classification of the Cyperaceae. *Australian Systematic Botany* 8: 125–305.
- Brundrett MC. 2002. Coevolution of roots and mycorrhizas of land plants. *New Phytologist* 154: 275–304.
- Brundrett MC, Abbott LK. 1995. Mycorrhizal fungus propagules in the jarrah forest. II. Spatial variability in inoculum levels. *New Phytologist* 131: 461–469.
- Chapin FS, Moilanen L, Kielland KK. 1993. Preferential use of organic nitrogen for growth by a non-mycorrhizal arctic sedge. *Nature* 361: 150–153.
- Davies J, Briarty LG, Rieley JO. 1973. Observations on the swollen lateral roots of the Cyperaceae. *New Phytologist* 72: 167–174.
- Fitter AH, Graves JD, Watkins NK, Robinson D, Scrimgeour C. 1998. Carbon transfer between plants and its control in networks of arbuscular mycorrhizas. *Functional Ecology* 12: 406–412.
- Fitter AH, Moyersoen B. 1996. Evolutionary trends in root-microbe symbioses. *Philosophical Transactions Royal Society, London B* 351: 1367–1375.
- Harrington TJ, Mitchell DT. 2002. Colonization of root systems of *Carex flacca* and *C. pilulifera* by *Cortinarius* (*Dermocybe*). *Cinnamomeus*. *Mycological Research* 106: 452–459.
- Haselwandter K, Read DJ. 1980. Fungal associations of roots of dominant and sub-dominant plants in high-alpine vegetation systems with special reference to mycorrhiza. *Oecologia* 45: 57–62.
- Johnson D, Booth RE, Whiteley AS, Bailey MJ, Read DJ, Grime JP, Leake JR. 2003a. Plant community composition affects the biomass activity and diversity of soil microorganisms in reconstituted calcareous grassland. *European Journal of Soil Science* 54: 671–678.
- Johnson D, Vandenkoornhuise PJ, Leake JR, Gilbert L, Booth RE, Grime JP, Young PW, Read DJ. 2003b. Plant communities affect arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal diversity and community composition in grassland microcosms. *New Phytologist* 161: 503–515.
- Lamont B. 1974. The biology of dauciform roots in the sedge *Cyathochaete avanaceae*. *New Phytologist* 73: 985–996.
- Lamont B. 1982. Mechanisms for enhancing nutrient uptake in plants, with particular reference to Mediterranean South Africa and Western Australia. *Botanical Review* 48: 597–689.
- Lamont BB. 1993. Why are hairy root clusters so abundant in the most nutrient-impooverished soils of Australia? *Plant and Soil* 155/156: 269–272.
- Lamont BB. 2003. Structure, ecology and physiology of root clusters – a review. *Plant and Soil* 248: 1–19.
- Lipson DA, Schadt CW, Schmidt SK, Monson RK. 1999. Ectomycorrhizal transfer of amino acid-nitrogen to alpine sedge *Kobresia myosuroides*. *New Phytologist* 142: 163–167.
- Lovera M, Cuenca G. 1996. Arbuscular mycorrhizal infection in Cyperaceae and Gramineae from natural, disturbed and restored savannas in La Gran Sabana, Venezuela. *Mycorrhiza* 6: 111–118.
- Meney KA, Dixon KW, Scheltema M, Pate JS. 1993. Occurrence of vesicular mycorrhizal fungi in dryland species of Restionaceae and Cyperaceae from south-west Western Australia. *Australian Journal of Botany* 41: 733–737.
- Miller RM, Smith CR, Jastrow JD, Bever JD. 1999. Mycorrhizal status of the genus *Carex* (Cyperaceae). *American Journal of Botany* 86: 547–553.
- Muthukumar T, Udaiyan K. 2002. Seasonality of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae in sedges in a semi-arid tropical grassland. *Acta Oecologica* 23: 337–347.
- Muthukumar T, Udaiyan K, Shanmughavel P. 2004. Mycorrhiza in sedges – an overview. *Mycorrhiza* 14: 65–77.
- Pate JS, Bell TL. 1999. Application of the ecosystem mimic concept to the species-rich *Banksia* woodlands of Western Australia. *Agroforestry Systems* 45: 303–341.
- Plunkett GM, Soltis DE, Soltis PS, Brooks RE. 1995. Phylogenetic relationships between Juncaceae and Cyperaceae: insights from rbcL sequence data. *American Journal of Botany* 82: 520–525.
- Powell CL. 1975. Rushes and sedges are non-mycotrophic. *Plant and Soil* 42: 481–484.
- Raab TK, Lipson DA, Monson RK. 1999. Soil amino acid utilization among species of the Cyperaceae: Plant and soil processes. *Ecology* 80: 2408–2419.
- Reznicek AA. 1990. Evolution of sedges (*Carex*, Cyperaceae). *Canadian Journal of Botany* 68: 1409–1432.
- Ruotsalainen AL, Aikio S. 2004. Mycorrhizal inoculum and performance of nonmycorrhizal *Carex bigelowii* and mycorrhizal *Trientalis europaea*. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 82: 443–449.
- Shane MW, Dixon KW, Lambers H. 2005. An investigation of the occurrence of dauciform roots amongst Western Australia roods, rushes and sedges, and the impact of P supply on dauciform-root development in *Schoenus unispiculatus* (Cyperaceae). *New Phytologist* 165: 887–898.
- Skene KR. 1998. Cluster roots: some ecological considerations. *Journal of Ecology* 86: 1060–1064.
- Skene KR. 2001. Cluster roots: model experimental tools for key biological problems. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 52: 479–485.
- Smith SE, Read DJ. 1997. *Mycorrhizal Symbiosis*, 2nd edn. New York, New York, USA: Academic Press.
- Tester M, Smith SE, Smith FA. 1987. The phenomenon of 'nonmycorrhizal' plants. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 65: 419–431.
- Ticconi CA, Abel S. 2004. Short on phosphate: plant surveillance and countermeasures. *Trends in Plant Science* 9: 548–555.
- Vance CP, Uhde-Stone C, Allen DL. 2003. Phosphorus acquisition and use: critical adaptations by plants for securing a nonrenewable resource. *New Phytologist* 157: 423–447.

**Key words:** Cyperaceae, dauciform root, nonmycorrhizal root, nutrient-impooverished soils, root adaptations.

## Ancient duplication of cereal genomes

The discovery of multiple ancient polyploidization events in *Arabidopsis* (Vision *et al.* 2000; Simillion *et al.*, 2002; Bowers *et al.*, 2003) foreshadowed the finding that *Oryza* (rice), too, had undergone extensive ancient duplication of its chromatin. Although the possibility of duplication in the rice genome had been suggested long ago, early studies of the sequence raised questions about whether rice was an 'ancient aneuploid' (Vandepoele *et al.*, 2003) or paleo-polyploid across its entire genome (Paterson *et al.*, 2003). In this issue, Wang *et al.* (pp. 937–946), contribute to a resolution of this question by using an independent assembly of a divergent rice subspecies, generally supporting the occurrence of a whole-genome duplication – although some questions still remain unanswered. Using independent dating approaches, Wang *et al.* also support prior estimates (Paterson *et al.*, 2004) that this event occurred about 70 million yr ago, suggesting

that it has affected the genome organization of virtually all of the world's cereal crops.

---

*Many more, if not all, higher plant species, considered as diploids because of their genetic and cytogenetic behaviour, are actually ancient polyploids*

---

### Polyploidy and the angiosperms

Polyploidy, the merger of multiple chromosome sets in a common nucleus, ranks among the most important of evolutionary mechanisms affecting angiosperm genomes. It has long been suspected that many angiosperms were ancient polyploids (Stebbins, 1966). By contrast, the relative scarcity of polyploidy in dioecious organisms (such as most animals, but few plants) is thought to be related to a need for balanced gene dosage between autosomal loci and the nondegenerated members of heteromorphic sex chromosome sets (Orr, 1990). The discovery that one polyploidization event predates the monocot–eudicot divergence arguably suggests that all angiosperms may be ancient polyploids (Bowers *et al.*, 2003). The discovery of several additional events in the same lineage (Bowers *et al.*, 2003) raises the as yet unanswered question of whether polyploidy might truly be cyclical, with distinct advantages that are gradually eroded by 'diploidization' and divergence of suites of duplicated genes.

### The controversy about rice, and why it is important

As the first representative of the Poaceae (cereals), a plant family that provides the majority of calories consumed by humans together with a growing share of our fuel and also many other 'ecosystem services' such as erosion control, duplication analysis of the *Oryza* (rice) sequence was of special importance. It had long been known that rice chromosomes occasionally paired with seemingly incorrect partners (Lawrence, 1931), and had been shown by restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) mapping that rice chromosomes 1–5 (Kishimoto *et al.*, 1994) and 11–12 (Nagamura *et al.*, 1995) each contained duplicated gene pairs in what appeared to be collinear orders. Initial analysis of genomic shotgun sequence suggested a widespread propensity for gene duplication that was consistent with a large-scale event perhaps 40–50 million yr ago (Goff *et al.*, 2002).

In view of this background, it was no surprise that two early investigations of partial assemblies for *Oryza sativa* (L.)

*ssp. japonica* each suggested ancient duplication of rice chromosomes. However, the findings of the two groups differed in key ways, with one reporting duplication over only about 15% of the genome ('ancient aneuploidy'; Vandepoele *et al.*, 2003), and the other suggesting a probable whole-genome event based on duplication over about 62% of the genome (Paterson *et al.*, 2003). The importance of resolving this difference was highlighted by the finding that this event predated the divergence of the major cereals from one another (Paterson *et al.*, 2004), and thus it is a common factor affecting the genome structure of many of the world's leading crops.

### Perspective from a second subspecies

In this issue, Wang *et al.* describe analysis of an independent and advanced sequence assembly from *O. sativa ssp. indica*, a close relative of *ssp. japonica* that has been the target of a whole-genome shotgun effort (Yu *et al.*, 2002). Across 370 Mb assembled into 12 chromosomes, Wang *et al.* find 10 duplicated blocks that contain 47% of the predicted transcriptome. While the largest of these, between chromosomes 2 and 4, was found in both earlier studies (Paterson *et al.*, 2003; Vandepoele *et al.*, 2003), smaller ones such as between chromosomes 1 and 5 escaped detection by Vandepoele *et al.* (2003). Wang *et al.* corroborated the estimate of 70 million yr ago for the antiquity of the rice event (Vandepoele *et al.*, 2003; Paterson *et al.*, 2004) based on analysis of rice/maize homologs, and suggested that the extent of gene loss has been somewhat less (32–65%) than found in the earlier studies (~80%). Finally, Wang *et al.* tentatively assigned a date of about 5 million yr ago to a duplication of chromosomes 11 and 12, chromosomes that had not yet been adequately sequenced for Vandepoele *et al.* (2003) to address, and which Paterson *et al.* (2003, 2004) identified as more recently duplicated than the remainder of the genome but did not estimate a date.

Admirably, while Wang *et al.* was in review, concerns about the diversity of findings had motivated reanalysis of more advanced rice assemblies (TIGR v1.0) using less stringent thresholds for inferring significance. These analyses made it clear that the fraction of the rice genome found in duplicated blocks is indeed appreciably larger than the 15% reported in Vandepoele *et al.* (2003) and agrees more closely with Wang *et al.* and Paterson *et al.* (2004). Yet another independent analysis of the *japonica* sequence arrived at a similar conclusion (Guyot & Keller, 2004). These re-analyses also support the finding that, apart from a continuous mode of (tandem) duplication, both a recent small-scale (i.e. chromosome 11–12) and an older large-scale duplication event shaped the rice genome. The observation that approximately 7% of the rice genome is located in overlapping block duplications suggests that older, perhaps cryptic cycles of polyploidy (such as the  $\gamma$  event thought to be shared by all angiosperms (Bowers *et al.*, 2003) may also have shaped the genome.

## Looking back at ancient duplications from the future

While the evidence for a large-scale, if not genome-wide, duplication event in a common ancestor of the cereals is growing stronger, many questions remain. Although the large-scale duplication event (i.e. duplicated blocks with  $0.95 > Ks > 0.78$ ) accounts for the majority of all duplicated blocks (~62% of all anchor points) in the rice genome, these blocks cover less than half of the physical rice genome. Consequently, it appears that these blocks may *not* actually be 'uniformly over 10 of all 12 chromosomes' as suggested (Wang *et al.*). It will be of much interest to shed light on whether there exists differential preservation of ancient gene orders in different regions of the genome, and what factors might contribute to it.

Furthermore, diploidization processes appear to vary widely in different taxa. By many measures, rice and *Arabidopsis* are thought to have experienced genome duplications at similar times (although even this remains controversial, with different authors supporting estimates of from 30 to 100 million yr). However, age distributions of duplicated genes are considerably different in the two taxa (fig. 5 in Vandepoele *et al.*, 2003). In fact, one recent study of age distributions fails to detect evidence of duplication in rice (Blanc & Wolfe, 2004). One possible explanation may be that the rate of gene loss is much higher in rice than in *Arabidopsis* (but the rates of gene loss and the fraction of genes in tandem duplications in *Arabidopsis* and rice do not seem to be significantly different at first sight; Simillion *et al.*, 2004; Wang *et al.*). Finally, Wang *et al.* are among the first to try to mitigate the effect of the negative correlation of  $Ks$  with guanine+cytosine (GC) content, an issue that is of special importance in high-GC lineages such as the rice. In any case, these additional incongruities need to be resolved before we can conclude with certainty that rice underwent a truly whole-genome duplication event.

The archaeology of plant genome duplication is only just emerging from infancy. While there naturally remains room for improvement of methodology associated with detecting paleopolyploidy, a more seminal need is better understanding of the fates of individual genes and interacting sets of genes following polyploidy. While many new data from microbes such as yeast are shedding valuable light on the roles and consequences of gene duplication, population genetic theory predicts that these consequences should be very different in organisms with larger body size and associated smaller effective population sizes (Lynch & Conery, 2003). The propensity of the angiosperms for polyploidy, together with rapidly growing genomic data and tools, makes them an especially attractive system in which to explore consequences of polyploidy that may be more likely to extend to most crown eukaryotes.

## Acknowledgements

AHP and JEB thank the US National Science Foundation and USDA National Research Initiative for financial support. We all thank many scientists who deposit and curate data in public databases, especially GenBank, the International Rice Genome Sequencing Program and TIGR.

**Andrew H. Paterson<sup>1,\*</sup>, John E. Bowers<sup>1</sup>,  
Yves Van de Peer<sup>2</sup> and Klaas Vandepoele<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Plant Genome Mapping Laboratory, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA; <sup>2</sup>Department of Plant Systems Biology, Ghent University, B-9052 Ghent, Belgium.  
(\*Author for correspondence: tel +1 706 583 0162; fax +1 706 583 0160; email paterson@uga.edu)

## References

- Blanc G, Wolfe KH. 2004. Widespread paleopolyploidy in model plant species inferred from age distributions of duplicate genes. *Plant Cell* 16: 1667–1678.
- Bowers JE, Chapman BA, Rong JK, Paterson AH. 2003. Unravelling angiosperm genome evolution by phylogenetic analysis of chromosomal duplication events. *Nature* 422: 433–438.
- Goff SA, Ricke D, Lan TH, Presting G, Wang RL, Dunn M, Glazebrook J, Sessions A, Oeller P, Varma H, Hadley D, Hutchinson D, Martin C, Katagiri F, Lange BM, Moughamer T, Xia Y, Budworth P, Zhong JP, Miguel T, Paszkowski U, Zhang SP, Colbert M, Sun WL, Chen LL, Cooper B, Park S, Wood TC, Mao L, Quail P, Wing R, Dean RYUYS, Zharkikh A, Shen R, Sahasrabudhe S, Thomas A, Cannings R, Gutin A, Pruss D, Reid J, Tavtigian S, Mitchell J, Eldredge G, Scholl T, Miller RM, Bhatnagar S, Adey N, Rubano T, Tusneem N, Robinson R, Feldhaus J, Macalma T, Oliphant A, Briggs S. 2002. A draft sequence of the rice genome (*Oryza sativa* L. ssp. japonica). *Science* 296: 92–100.
- Guyot R, Keller B. 2004. Ancestral genome duplication in rice. *Genome* 47: 610–614.
- Kishimoto N, Higo H, Abe K, Arai S, Saito A, Higo K. 1994. Identification of the duplicated segments in rice chromosomes 1 and 5 by linkage analysis of cDNA markers of known functions. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 88: 722–726.
- Lawrence WJC. 1931. The secondary association of chromosomes. *Cytologia* 2: 352–384.
- Lynch M, Conery JS. 2003. The origins of genome complexity. *Science*, 302.
- Nagamura Y, Inoue T, Antonio B, Shimano T, Kajiji H, Shomura A, Lin S, Kuboki Y, Harushima Y, Kurata N, Minobe Y, Yano M, Sasaki T. 1995. Conservation of Duplicated Segments between Rice Chromosomes 11 and 12. *Breeding Science* 45: 373–376.
- Orr HA. 1990. Why Polyploidy Is Rarer in Animals Than in Plants Revisited. *American Naturalist* 136: 759–770.
- Paterson AH, Bowers JE, Chapman BA. 2004. Ancient polyploidization predating divergence of the cereals, and its consequences for comparative genomics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 101: 9903–9908.
- Paterson AH, Bowers JE, Peterson DG, Estill JC, Chapman BA. 2003. Structure and evolution of cereal genomes. *Current Opinion in Genetics and Development* 13: 644–650.

- Simillion C, Vandepoele K, Saeys Y, Van de Peer Y. 2004. Building genomic profiles for uncovering segmental homology in the twilight zone. *Genome Research* 14: 1095–1106.
- Simillion C, Vandepoele K, Van Montagu MCE, Zabeau M, Van de Peer Y. 2002. The hidden duplication past of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 99: 13627–13632.
- Stebbins G. 1966. Chromosomal variation and evolution; polyploidy and chromosome size and number shed light on evolutionary processes in higher plants. *Science* 152: 1463–1469.
- Vandepoele K, Simillion C, Van de Peer Y. 2003. Evidence that rice and other cereals are ancient aneuploids. *Plant Cell* 15: 2192–2202.
- Vision T, Brown D, Tanksley S. 2000. The origins of genomic duplications in *Arabidopsis*. *Science* 290: 2114–2117.
- Wang X, Shi X, Hao BL, Ge S, Luo J. 2005. Duplication and DNA segmental loss in rice genome and their implications for diploidization. *New Phytologist* 165: 937–946.
- Yu J, Hu SN, Wang J, Wong GKS, Li SG, Liu B, Deng YJ, Dai L,

Zhou Y, Zhang XQ, Cao ML, Liu J, Sun JD, Tang JB, Chen YJ, Huang XB, Lin WYeC, Tong W, Cong LJ, Geng JN, Han YJ, Li L, Li W, Hu GQ, Huang XG, Li WJ, Li J, Liu ZW, Liu JP, Qi QH, Liu JS, Li T, Wang XG, Lu H, Wu TT, Zhu M, Ni PX, Han H, Dong W, Ren XY, Feng XL, Cui P, Li XR, Wang H, Xu X, Zhai WX, Xu Z, Zhang JS, He SJ, Zhang JG, Xu JC, Zhang KL, Zheng XW, Dong JH, Zeng WY, Tao LYeJ, Tan J, Ren XD, Chen XW, He J, Liu DF, Tian W, Tian CG, Xia HG, Bao QY, Li G, Gao H, Cao T, Zhao WM, Li P, Chen W, Wang XD, Zhang Y, Hu JF, Liu S, Yang J, Zhang GY, Xiong YQ, Li ZJ, Mao L, Zhou CS, Zhu Z, Chen RS, Hao BL, Zheng WM, Chen SY, Guo W, Li GJ, Liu SQ, Tao M, Zhu LH, Yuan LP, Yang HM. 2002. A draft sequence of the rice genome (*Oryza sativa* L. ssp *indica*). *Science* 296: 79–92.

**Key words:** ancient duplication, *Arabidopsis*, cereal crops, *Oryza* (rice), polyploidization.

---

## Meetings

---

### A pioneer perspective on adaptation

#### Functional genomics of environmental adaptation in *Populus*: the 12th *New Phytologist* Symposium, Gatlinburg, TN, USA, October 2004

In its natural habitat, the black cottonwood tree (*Populus trichocarpa* Hooker) is a pioneer species that thrives in the dynamic, resource-rich environments created by massive flooding (Fig. 1; Braatne *et al.*, 1996). With the recent public release of the complete genome sequence of *P. trichocarpa* (<http://genome.jgi-psf.org/Poptr1/Poptr1.home.html>), an analogous flood of data is creating unprecedented opportunities in basic and applied research on this model tree (Strauss & Martin, 2004; Tuskan *et al.*, 2004). This is a landmark event for ecological research in particular, because unlike herbaceous model plants like *Arabidopsis*, corn, and rice, *Populus* is a wild, perennial plant that constitutes a dominant component of many ecosystems throughout the northern hemisphere (Braatne *et al.*, 1996). The genome sequence provides a cryptic blueprint of the molecular underpinnings of adaptation in natural populations, raising the possibility of linking molecular polymorphisms to adaptively significant phenotypic variation, a feat that has been accomplished only rarely in plant research until recently (Remington *et al.*, 2001; Jackson *et al.*, 2002). Breakthroughs in the understanding of ecologically significant molecular variation will require continued technological advancement and investment in genomic resources for additional ecologically

important species, as well as cross-disciplinary collaboration in ecology, population genetics and molecular biology (Feder & Mitchell-Olds, 2003). To facilitate this collaboration, the 12th *New Phytologist* symposium brought together a diverse group of ecologists, geneticists and molecular biologists to explore the prospects for determining molecular determinants of adaptive variation in *Populus* and other species. Rapid progress is likely in the understanding of molecular underpinnings of adaptation in *Populus* due to a rapidly expanding molecular toolbox, an energized and growing research community, and ecological assets that are unparalleled among sequenced model plants.

#### Adaptation research in forest trees

Adaptation research in forest trees has a long history, driven in part by the commercial importance of producing well-adapted and improved seed stocks for reforestation, and in part by the ecological importance of forest trees (Howe *et al.*, 2003). Initially, studies focused exclusively on adaptive traits, and usually involved planting diverse provenances in a common garden and performing a battery of measurements over a long time span. Such studies continue to be important because they provide the most direct means for determining the distribution of adaptive variation on the landscape, the degree of genetic and environmental control of a wide range of complex traits, and the extent of genotype by environment interactions (Zobel & Talbert, 1984). However, such studies provide little insight into the underlying genetic architecture of complex traits (i.e. the number and strength of genes involved, and the degrees of additivity and dominance of individual genetic loci).